Higher levels of TWEAK and matrix metalloproteinase-3 during the acute phase of myocardial infarction are associated with adverse left ventricular remodeling

Ferhat Eyyupkoca¹, Cengiz Sabanoglu², Mehmet Sait Altintas³, Ajar Kocak¹, Karabekir Ercan⁴, Nilnur Eyerci⁵, Sercan Okutucu⁶

¹Department of Cardiology, Dr. Nafiz Korez Sincan State Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

²Department of Cardiology, Kirikkale High Specialization Hospital, Kirikkale, Turkey

³Department of Cardiology, Yedikule Chest Diseases and Thoracic Surgery Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

⁴Department of Radiology, Ankara Bilkent City Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

⁵Deparment of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

⁶Department of Cardiology, Memorial Ankara Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Adv Interv Cardiol 2021; 17, 4 (66): 356–365 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aic.2021.111967

Abstract

Introduction: It is known that the levels of tumor necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK/TNFSF12) increase after myocardial infarction (MI) and that it interacts with sCD163. It has also been argued that TWEAK can induce matrix metalloprotein-ases (MMPs) in macrophages.

Aim: To investigate the roles of TWEAK, sCD163, and MMPs in left ventricular (LV) adverse remodeling (AR) in the early post-MI period.

Material and methods: Forty-six patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention were enrolled in the study. Post-MI LV functions and volumes were assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging at 2 weeks and 6 months. Cytokines and MMPs were measured using a bead-based multiplex immunoassay system at 1 day (baseline) and 2 weeks post-MI. AR was defined as an increase in LV end-diastolic volume of \geq 10% at the 6-month follow up.

Results: The TWEAK, MMP-2, and MMP-3 baseline levels were higher in the patients with AR than those without AR. At 2 weeks post-MI, these expression levels were similar in patients with and without AR, but sCD163 expression was increased in patients without AR. The TWEAK and MMP levels were positively correlated in the early period post-MI. At first day post-MI, higher levels of TWEAK and MMP-3 were predictors of AR (OR = 1.03, p = 0.006; OR = 1.08, p = 0.015; respectively).

Conclusions: TWEAK can induce MMPs in the early period post-MI, and these higher levels contribute to development of AR. Increased sCD163 levels at 2 weeks post-MI seem to be associated with the healing process through neutralizing the excessive inflammatory effects of TWEAK.

Key words: inflammation, matrix metalloproteinase, cardiac remodeling, TWEAK, soluble CD163.

Summary

Tumor necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK), the level of which is high on the first day after myocardial infarction (MI), plays a key role in the development of adverse left ventricular remodeling. Higher levels of TWEAK at 1 day post-MI can induce matrix metalloproteinases. Increased sCD163 levels at 2 weeks post-MI seem to be associated with the healing process through neutralizing the excessive inflammatory effects of TWEAK.

Introduction

Cardiac remodeling is of primary importance for morbidity and mortality following acute myocardial in-

farction (MI) [1]. Post-MI cardiac remodeling includes a series of complex interplays, initiated by tissue damage and necrosis with subsequent sterile inflammation

Corresponding author:

Ferhat Eyyupkoca MD, Department of Cardiology, Dr. Nafiz Korez Sincan State Hospital, Ankara, Turkey, e-mail: eyupkocaferhat@gmail.com Received: 7.10.2021, accepted: 14.11. 2021.

and infiltration by immune cells including neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages, dendritic cells, and lymphocytes [2], followed by the resolution of this inflammation characterized by myofibroblast proliferation, scar formation, and neovascularization [3]. Although inflammation is an important component of tissue healing, an excess inflammatory response contributes to adverse remodeling (AR) [4].

Proinflammatory cytokine levels are also elevated during the post-MI period. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK) is a member of the TNF superfamily that plays a role in various biological activities including proliferation, migration, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and inflammation. These biological activities are all closely related to the pathogenesis of cardiac remodeling. TWEAK carries out these activities by affecting endothelia, smooth muscle cells, cardiomyocyte cell lines, and cardiac fibroblasts [5–7]. Soluble CD163 (CD163) was discovered as the second receptor of TWEAK in 2007 [8]. CD163 is expressed by monocytes/macrophages and acts as a scavenger receptor, preventing its harmful biological outcomes [9]. CD163 interacts with TWEAK in vivo for the regulation of tissue regeneration following ischemic damage [10]. On the other hand, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which play a role in tissue remodeling and degradation of extracellular matrix proteins, may be associated with adverse remodeling [11]. However, the roles of TWEAK/ CD163 interaction and MMPs in cardiovascular pathology are still unclear.

Aim

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the roles of TWEAK, sCD163, and MMP in left ventricular (LV) AR in the early post-MI period.

Material and methods

Study design and population

This multi-centered prospective study was conducted between June 2015 and June 2018, and designed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration, revised in Brazil in 2013, and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. Ethics committee approval was obtained from the local ethics committee (Decision no: 2013/106). Informed consent forms of all of the patients enrolled in the study were also obtained. Assuming an α of 0.05, a power of 0.80, and a 30% estimated AR rate, in line with previous reports, the estimated sample size was at least 46 patients in total.

Forty-six patients who were admitted to the emergency department with first-time ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), who were above 18 years of age, presented within the first 12 h after the onset of a complaint of chest pain that had been ongoing for more than 30 min with > 0.1 mV ST-segment elevation in at least 2 or more related derivations and underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) were enrolled in the study. The definition of STEMI was based on the third universal definition of MI [12] and was managed according to the latest European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines [13].

Patients in cardiogenic shock or in need of intra-aortic balloon pump, with a history of silent ischemia/infarct, any kind of systemic inflammatory disease, autoimmune disease, history of chronic corticosteroid or anti-inflammatory drugs, pregnancy or delivery within the previous 90 days or breastfeeding mothers, and patients for whom emergency or elective coronary artery bypass grafting was planned after angiography, and patients who had myocardial re-infarction during follow-up were excluded from the study. Clinical, demographic, laboratory, and radiological findings of patients were assessed.

After inclusion, follow-up cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) scanning was performed at 2 weeks and 6 months after the index event. The assessment of inflammatory markers was conducted on the first day and 2 weeks (14 days) after the index event. The definition of AR was made considering the 10% change (Δ) in the LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) at 6 months compared to 2 weeks (14 days) post-MI, which has been widely used in the literature [14].

Biochemical parameters

Blood samples were obtained from the antecubital vein upon admission for the troponin and lipid panel, and at presentation, at 1 day and 2 weeks post-MI for inflammatory markers. Samples were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min and stored at -80°C. The tests were analyzed after all of the samples were collected and run in the same laboratory by the same laboratory technician in a single session with the same device. The total cholesterol was determined using the homogeneous enzymatic colorimetric method in a Hitachi Modular P800 autoanalyzer (Roche Diagnostics Corp., Indiana, IN, USA). Low density lipoprotein (LDL) was calculated according to the Friedewald method [15].

Analysis of cytokine parameters

Cytokine quantification was run in duplicate. Serum and plasma samples were thawed on ice and concentrations of TWEAK, sCD163, MMP-1, MMP-2, and MMP-3 were analyzed according to the manufacturer's instructions for the bead-based multiplex immunoassay system (171-AL001M, Bio-Plex Pro Human Inflammation Panel 1, 37-Plex). The formation of different sandwich immunocomplexes on distinct bead sets was measured and quantified using the Bio-Plex MAGPIX System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The final concentration of analytes was calculated using Bio-Plex Manager v5.0 software (Bio-Rad). For all of the statistical analyses, values below the detection limit of the assay were replaced with the minimal detectable value for the analyte. The coefficient of variation (CV%) was < 10%.

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

All of the CMR studies were performed on a 3-T scanner (Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). The cardiac MR imaging protocol included the acquisition of one 4-chamber view, cine short-axis sections (slice thickness 6 mm at 10-mm intervals) and one 2-chamber view. The indices of LV systolic function were assessed using a retrospective electrocardiogram-gated turbo-fast low angle shot (turbo-FLASH) sequence. The imaging parameters included an echo time of 1.42 ms, repetition time of 39 ms, flip angle of 57°, and voxel size of 1.67 × 1.67 × 6 mm. The LV function and volumes were measured using Syngo via imaging software. The LVEDV and LVESV were calculated with short-axis based planimetry from the basal to apical level. The stroke volume was calculated as the LVEDV minus the LVESV, and the LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated as follows: $LVEF = [(LVEDV - LVESV)/LVEDV] \times 100.$

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) program. Normal distribution of data was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Numeric variables with and without normal distribution were plotted as the mean ± standard deviation and median (interquartile range (IQR); 25th (Q1)-75th (Q3) quartiles) respectively. Categorical variables were indicated as numbers and percentages. Student's t test or the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of the numeric variables between the 2 groups according to the distribution of normality. The χ^2 , Yates correction, and Fisher exact tests were used for comparison of the categorical data. The relationship between the TWEAK and MMPs was examined by Spearman's correlation test. Mixed model for repeated measures (MMRM) analysis was performed for comparison of the post-MI cytokine levels. Changes in CMR variables and cytokine levels in the post-MI period are shown by Δ . Potential risk factors for AR were defined by univariable logistic regression analysis. Variables with a p value less than 0.25 were included in the multivariable logistic regression models [16, 17]. Additionally, confounder factors, including age and sex, smoke, comorbidities, symptom to balloon time, infarct location, cardiac output and infarct size, were included in the multivariable regression models, because these parameters may play a role in LV function [18-20]. In multivariable regression Model I, confounding and potential factors and cytokine levels on the first day post-MI were included. In multivariable regression Model II, confounding and potential factors and change of cytokine levels from the first day

to 2 weeks post-MI were included. P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

The study population consisted of 6 women and 40 men (mean age: 55.5 ± 7.1 years). Seventeen patients (37%) had hypertension and the rate of diabetes was 26.1% (n = 12). Eighteen (39.1%) patients exhibited AR post-MI. Details of demographic, laboratory and CMR imaging findings are shown in Table I.

TWEAK, MMP-2 and MMP-3 levels on the first day post-MI were higher in the patients with AR. The cytokine levels increased at 2 weeks compared to the first day post-MI in patients without AR. Median MMP-1 levels was increased at 2 weeks compared to the first day post-MI in patients with AR (3072.5 vs. 6695.6 pq/ml, p = 0.016), TWEAK level was decreased (759.4 vs. 276.5 pq/ml, p < 0.001), and other cytokine levels showed no significant change (Figure 1, Table II).

There was a positive correlation between the TWEAK and MMP levels post-MI (Table III). Potential risk factors of AR were determined by univariable logistic regression analysis (Table IV). Multivariable regression Model I indicated that risk factors of high TWEAK and high MMP-3 levels on the first day post-MI were independent predictors of AR (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.01–1.05, p = 0.006; OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 1.04–1.13, p = 0.015; respectively). Accordingly; on the first day post-MI, an increase of 1 pq/ml in TWEAK levels increased the risk of developing AR 1.03-fold; and an increase of 1 pq/ml in MMP-3 levels increased the risk of developing AR 1.08-fold (Table IV).

Model II regression analysis indicated that the changes of TWEAK and MMP-3 levels from the first day to 2 weeks post-MI were independent predictors of AR (OR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.84–0.99, p = 0.018; OR = 0.98, 95% CI: 0.96–0.99, p = 0.005; respectively). Accordingly, a decrease of 1 pq/ml in Δ TWEAK levels increased the risk of developing AR 1.08 (1/0.92)-fold, and an decrease of 1 pq/ml in Δ MMP-3 levels increased the risk of developing AR 1.02 (1/0.98)-fold (Table IV).

Discussion

The first day after MI, TWEAK, MMP-2, and MMP-3 levels were higher in the patients with AR, while there was no significant difference between the groups with regard to sCD163. The cytokine levels between groups with AR and without AR at 2 weeks post-MI were not significantly different. The TWEAK expression decreased at 2 weeks post-MI in the patients with AR, while no significant change was observed in the sCD163, MMP-2, and MMP-3 expression levels. The cytokine levels in the patients without AR increased significantly at 2 weeks post-MI compared to the baseline levels on first day post-MI. Regression analyses indicated that the higher levels of

Population N = 46 No n = 28 Yes n = 18 Demographic findings:	Variables	All	Adverse r	P-value	
N = 40 n = 28 n = 18 Demographic findings: Age lyears] 55.5 ± 6.9 55.8 ± 7.2 54.9 ± 6.4 0.658 Male gender, n (%) 40 (87.0) 25 (89.3) 15 (83.3) 0.891 BMI[fg/m] 27.1 ± 4.0 25.6 ± 4.3 27.5 ± 3.4 0.555 Smoking, n (%) 13 (39.1) 9 (32.1) 9 (50.0) 0.354 Hypertension, n (%) 17 (37.0) 10 (35.7) 7 (38.9) 0.999 Diahetes, mellinux, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.599 SBP [mm Hg] 78.9 ± 12.3 7 88 ± 15.2 79.1 ± 1.1 0.939 Pleart rate [bpm] 6 2.2 ± 10.1 81.4 ± 1.15 8.3 ± 8.0 0.509 Door to balloon time [min] 481 ± 6.8 47.8 ± 7.5 48.6 ± 5.7 0.683 Anterior infarct, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings: Crin [ag/in] 47.8 ± 0.4 0.4 ± 4.5 ± 2.4 0.6 ± 2.1 0.0 ± 7 Upmphotyse [= 10 ¹ µ] 1.4 ± 2.6 112 ± 2.2 0.4		population	No	Yes	
Demographic findings: Page (pars) 55.5 ± 6.9 55.8 ± 7.2 54.9 ± 6.4 0.658 Male gendler, n (%) 40 (87.0) 25 (89.3) 15 (83.3) 0.891 BM (kg/m ⁻¹) 27.1 ± 4.0 26.8 ± 4.3 27.5 ± 3.4 0.555 Smaking, n (%) 18 (39.1) 9 (32.1) 9 (50.0) 0.354 Hypertension, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Heat rate (bpm) 82.2 ± 10.1 81.4 ± 11.5 83.3 ± 8.0 0.509 Door-to-balloon time (min) 45.1 ± 6.8 47.8 ± 7.5 4.8 ± 5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time (min) 350.8 ± 70.4 352.8 ± 71.9 347.8 ± 70.1 0.818 Anterior infract, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Labetes (1.10 ± 10) 11 14 ± 2.6 11.2 ± 2.5 11.7 ± 2.9 0.490 Nestrophik [× 10 ³ µ] 11 4 ± 2.6 11.2 ± 2.5 11.7 ± 2.9 0.490 Nestrophik [× 10 ³ µ] 12 49 ± 6.2 8.3 ± 7.2 9.6 ± 7.1 0.007" Hymphorytes [× 10 ² µ] 2.3 ± 0.7 2.6 ± 0.7 2.1 ± 0.6 0.0016" Platelets [× 10 ³ µ] 0.8 ± 0.2 0.8 ± 0.2 0.9 ± 0.2 0.0155 Hemoglobin [g/dl] 13.7 ± 1.4 13.7 ± 1.3 13.8 ± 1.6 0.765 Giacose [mg/dl] 10 ± 0.2 10.0 ± 0.719 Labota (bg/ml) 115 (96-130) 115.5 (96-146.5) 113 (90-130) 0.479 Creatinie (mg/dl] 10 ± 0.2 10.0 ± 0.719 Labota (bg/ml) 12.45 (12-235) 116 (52.9) ± 0.2 ± 0.315 Hemoglobin [g/dl] 13.5 (72-204) 12.45 (70-215.5) 116.5 (90-146) 0.890 CMR imaging findings: 2 weeks post-Mi: WEF (%) 47.0 ± 10.5 45.9 ± 10.2 48.8 ± 10.9 0.200 LVEDV [m] 160.4 ± 33.0 165.9 ± 35.4 ± 15.3 ± 44.9 0.534 LD [mg/ml] 11 (20-137) 111 (92-134) 112 (96-145) 0.150 Total cholesterol [mg/dl] 12.0 5 (72-204) 12.45 (70-215.5) 116.5 (90-146) 0.890 CMR imaging findings: 2 weeks post-Mi: WEF (%) 43.5 ± 10.4 ± 34.0.8 4.8 ± 13 0.137 C [m1/min/m ⁻¹] 2.5 ± 0.4 ± 2.4 -7.0 2.9 40.7 ± 0.004" VEFV [m1] 80.64 ± 32.0 45.9 ± 35.4 ± 15.3 ± 0.404 2.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.131 Total cholesterol [mg/dl] 12.0 5 ± 0.4 ± 14.7 ± 0.9 45.		N = 46	n = 28	n = 18	
Age (pars) 55.5 ± 6.9 55.8 ± 7.2 54.9 ± 6.4 0.658 Male gender, (%) 40 (8770) 25 (89.3) 15 (83.3) 0.891 BMI (kg/m²) 27.1 ± 4.0 26.8 ± 4.3 27.5 ± 3.4 0.565 Smoking, n (%) 13 (39.1) 9 (32.1) 9 (00.0) 0.354 Hypertension, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 SBP (mm Hg) 7.9.9 7.88<± 13.2	Demographic findings:				
Male gender, n (%) 40 (87.0) 25 (89.3) 15 (83.3) 0.891 BMI (kg/m) 77.14.0 26.8 +4.3 725 +3.4 0.565 Smoking, n (%) 17 (37.0) 10 (55.7) 7 (88.9) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 17 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (72.8) 0.999 SBP [mm Hg] 125.0 ±15.8 124.5 ±16.4 125.8 ±15.2 0.786 DBP [mm Hg] 78.9 ±12.3 78.8 ±13.2 79.1 ±11.1 0.939 bart res infance 71.48.9 0.509 0.001 0.509 Door to balloon time [min] 30.8 ±70.4 32.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 30.8 ±70.4 32.8 ±7.2 31.4 ±1.5 0.33 34.0 0.999 Laboratory findings: (Tr-I [pg/ml] 47.8 ±2.6 11.2 ±2.5 11.7 ±2.9 0.490 Neutrophils [= 10 ⁺ µl] 18.4 ±2.6 11.2 ±2.5 11.7 ±2.9 0.490 Neutrophils [= 10 ⁺ µl] 13.6 ±2.2 8.1 ±2.2 9.6 ±2.1 0.027 ⁺ lymphorytes [× 10 ⁻ µl]	Age [years]	55.5 ±6.9	55.8 ±7.2	54.9 ±6.4	0.658
BMI (kg/m²) 27.1 ±4.0 2.8.8 ±4.3 27.5 ±3.4 0.565 Smoking, n (%) 18 (39.1) 9 (22.1) 9 (50.0) 0.354 Hypertension, n (%) 17 (37.0) 10 (35.7) 7 (38.9) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 8 ±15.2 .79.1 ±1.1 0.939 Diatoto-balloon time [min] 48.1 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 48.1 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 47.8 ±7.6 40.4 (30.4 ± 52.6) 57.3 (40 ± 67.9) 0.033* Laboratory findings: Crin-lipp(m] 47.8 ±0.0 2.0.10 0.016* Platelets [* 10 ² µ] 2.3 ±0.7 2.6 ±0.7 2.1 ±0.6 0.016* Platelets [* 10 ² µ] 2.3 ±0.7 2.6 ±0.7 2.1 ±0.6 0.016* Platelets [* 10 ² µ] 2.3 ±0.7	Male gender, n (%)	40 (87.0)	25 (89.3)	15 (83.3)	0.891
Smoking, r. (%) 18 (39.1) 9 (32.1) 9 (50.0) 0.354 Hypertension, r. (%) 17 (37.0) 10 (35.7) 7 (38.9) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n. (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 SBP [mm Hg] 78.9 ±12.3 78.8 ±13.2 79.1 ±11.1 0.939 Debretsmellitus, n. (%) 7 (25.7) 18.1 ±11.5 83.3 ±8.0 0.509 Door-to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ±70.4 352.8 ±7.9 347.8 ±70.1 0.818 Anterior infarct, n. (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.939 Laboratory findings:	BMI [kg/m²]	27.1 ±4.0	26.8 ±4.3	27.5 ±3.4	0.565
Hypertension, n (%) 17 (37.0) 10 (55.7) 7 (38.9) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 Heart rate [bpm] 82.2 ±10.1 81.4 ±11.5 83.3 ±8.0 0.509 Dear to balloon time [min] 482.4 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ±70.4 352.8 ±71.9 347.8 ±70.1 0.818 Anterior infact, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Liabratory findings: Tor-I [pg/ml] 47.8 (30.4 ±58.6) 40.4 (30.4 ±52.6) 57.3 (40-67.9) 0.033* WBC (± 10' µl] 11.4 ±2.6 11.2 ±2.5 11.7 ±2.9 0.490 Neutrophile [± 10' µl] 8.4 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.027* lymphocytes [± 10' µl] 0.8 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.105* Heat rate [s 10' µl] 0.8 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.105* Hemoglobin [g/dl]	Smoking, n (%)	18 (39.1)	9 (32.1)	9 (50.0)	0.354
Diabetes mellitus, n(%) 12 (26.1) 7 (25.0) 5 (27.8) 0.999 SBP [mm Hg] 125.0 ±15.8 124.5 ±16.4 125.8 ±15.2 0.786 DBP [mm Hg] 78.9 ±12.3 78.8 ±13.2 79.1 ±11.1 0.939 Doorto-balloon time [min] 82.2 ±10.1 81.4 ±11.5 83.3 ±8.0 0.509 Doorto-balloon time [min] 36.8 ±7.04 352.8 ±7.19 347.8 ±7.01 0.818 Anterior infact, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	Hypertension, n (%)	17 (37.0)	10 (35.7)	7 (38.9)	0.999
SBP [mm Hg] 125.0 ±15.8 124.5 ±16.4 125.8 ±15.2 0.786 DBP [mm Hg] 78.9 ±12.3 78.8 ±13.2 79.1 ±11.1 0.939 Door to balloon time [min] 48.1 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 48.1 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ±70.4 352.8 ±71.9 347.8 ±70.1 0.818 Anterior infarct, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	12 (26.1)	7 (25.0)	5 (27.8)	0.999
DBP [mm hig] 78.9 ± 12.3 78.8 ± 13.2 79.1 ± 1.1 0.999 Heart rate [bpm] 82.2 ± 10.1 81.4 ± 11.5 83.3 ± 8.0 0.509 Door-to-balloon time [min] 48.1 ± 6.8 47.8 ± 7.5 48.6 ± 5.7 0.693 Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ± 70.4 352.8 ± 71.9 347.8 ± 70.1 0.818 Anterior infarct, n (%) 2.7 (58.7) 1.6 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	SBP [mm Hg]	125.0 ±15.8	124.5 ±16.4	125.8 ±15.2	0.786
Heart ate [bpm] 82.2 ±10.1 81.4 ±1.5 83.3 ±8.0 0.509 Door-to-balloon time [min] 46.1 ±6.8 47.8 ±7.5 48.6 ±5.7 0.693 Anterior infarct, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	DBP [mm Hg]	78.9 ±12.3	78.8 ±13.2	79.1 ±11.1	0.939
Doorto-balloon time [min] 48.1 ± 5.8 47.8 ± 7.5 48.6 ± 5.7 0.693 Symptom to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ± 70.4 352.8 ± 71.9 347.8 ± 70.1 0.818 Anterior infart, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	Heart rate [bpm]	82.2 ±10.1	81.4 ±11.5	83.3 ±8.0	0.509
Symptom-to-balloon time [min] 350.8 ±70.4 352.8 ±71.9 347.8 ±70.1 0.818 Anterior infact, n(%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	Door-to-balloon time [min]	48.1 ±6.8	47.8 ±7.5	48.6 ±5.7	0.693
Anterior infarct, n (%) 27 (58.7) 16 (57.1) 11 (61.1) 0.999 Laboratory findings:	Symptom-to-balloon time [min]	350.8 ±70.4	352.8 ±71.9	347.8 ±70.1	0.818
Laboratory findings: $\overline{\Gamma^{P}_{1}}$ [lgg/m] 47.8 (30.4–58.6) 40.4 (30.4–52.6) 57.3 (40–67.9) 0.033* WBC [* 10 ³ µ] 11.4 ±2.6 11.2 ±2.5 11.7 ±2.9 0.490 Neutrophils [× 10 ³ µ] 8.6 ±2.2 8.1 ±2.2 9.6 ±2.1 0.027* Lymphocytes [× 10 ³ µ] 2.3 ±0.7 2.6 ±0.7 2.1 ±0.6 0.016* Platelets [× 10 ³ µ] 0.8 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.105 Hemoglobin [g/d] 13.7 ±1.4 13.7 ±1.3 13.8 ±1.6 0.765 Glucose [mg/d] 115 (96–130) 115.5 (96–136.5) 113 (90–130) 0.479 Creatinine [mg/d]] 10.4 ±0.2 1.0 ±0.3 1.0 ±0.2 0.779 hs-CRP [µg/d] 21.6 (14.2–31) 19.1 (12.8–27.9) 23.9 (14.2–34.5) 0.180 Total cholestrol [mg/d] 179.2 ±51.6 175.4 ±56 185.2 ±44.9 0.534 LDL [mg/d1] 111 (90–137) 111 (59.5–134) 112 (96–145) 0.150 HDL [mg/d1] 120.5 (72–204) 124.5 (70–215.5) 11.6 (90–146) 0.890 CRMs imaging findings: Z weeks post-Mi: VEEP (%) 47.0 ±10.5 45.9 ±10.2 48.8 ±10.9 0.200 LVEDV [m1] 160.4 ±33.0 165.9 ±35.4 153.5 ±24.4 0.517 CQ [ml/min] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 7.6.9 ±16.8 0.111 CQ [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 C[ml/min] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 7.6.9 ±16.8 0.111 Infarct size (%) of IV 17 (9–26) 14 (8–22) 18 (12–26) 0.042* F mouther post-Mi: VEF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 3.2 4.6.9 ±10.2 46.8 ±10.9 0.200 LVEDV [m1] 159.8 ±4.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 <0.001* Tiply cell [mg/d1] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 7.6.9 ±16.8 0.111 Infarct size (%) of IV 17 (9–26) 14 (8–22) 18 (12–26) 0.042* F mouther post-Mi: VEF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* VEEV [m1] 80 (62–131) 74.5 ±1.2 47.2 49.8 ±1.3 0.137 C[ml/min/m*] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of IV 17 (9–26) 14 (8–22) 18 (12–26) 0.042* F mouther post-Mi: VEF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* VEEV [m1] 80 (62–131) 74.5 ±1.2 47.2 49.4 ±1.4 ±1.0 0.034* VEEV [m1] 80 (62–131) 74.5 ±1.2 47.2 ±0.4 ±1.4 ±0.7 ±0.5 40.001* Type stock volume [m1] 75.0 ±14.6 75.7 ±12.2 74.0 ±18.1 0.701 Cardiac output [ml/min] 4.6 ±1.2 47.7 ±0.9 4.5 ±1.6 0.616 Cardiac index [ml/min/m*] 2.6 ±0.4 2.7 ±0.4 2.5 ±0.5 0.0387 F mouther solume [m1] 75.0 ±14.6 75.7 ±12.2 74.0 ±5.1.6 0.616 Cardiac	Anterior infarct, n (%)	27 (58.7)	16 (57.1)	11 (61.1)	0.999
$ \frac{\left[\text{Tr} + \left[\text{pg}/\text{m} \right] \right] }{\text{We} \left[\left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \right] } \\ 114 \pm 2.6 \\ \text{Neutrophils} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ \text{Neutrophils} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 8.6 \pm 2.2 \\ 2.8.1 \pm 2.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 2.2 \\ 9.6 \pm 2.1 \\ 0.027^* \\ \text{Lymphocytes} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 2.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.016^* \\ \text{Platelets} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 2.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.016^* \\ \text{Neutrophils} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 2.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.015^* \\ \text{Neutrophils} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 2.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.015^* \\ \text{Noncytes} \left[\times 10^3 \mu \right] \\ 0.8 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.105 \\ \text{Hemoglobin} \left[g/d \right] \\ 1.3 7 \pm 1.4 \\ 1.3 7 \pm 1.3 \\ 1.3 8 \pm 1.6 \\ 0.765 \\ \hline \text{Glucose} \left[\text{mg}/d \right] \\ 1.15 \left(96 - 130 \right) \\ 115 \left(96 - 130 \right) \\ 1.15 \left(96 - 145 \right) \\ 0.150 \\ \hline \text{Total cholesterol} \left[\text{mg}/d \right] \\ 1.10 \left(9.2 + 27.9 \right) \\ 2.3 \left(9 \left(14.2 - 34.5 \right) \\ 0.180 \\ \hline \text{Total cholesterol} \left[\text{mg}/d \right] \\ 1.10 \left(97 - 24 \right) \\ 1.10 \left(97 - 24.5 \right) \\ 1.11 \left(96 - 145 \right) \\ 0.150 \\ \hline \text{HDL} \left[\text{mg}/d \right] \\ 1.10 \left(10.5 \left(72 - 204 \right) \\ 1.24 5 \left(70 - 215.5 \right) \\ 1.65 \left(90 - 146 \right) \\ 0.890 \\ \hline \text{CMR imaging findings:} \\ 2 \text{ weeks post-Mi:} \\ \hline \frac{1}{\text{VEF} \left(\text{w} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\text{VEF} \left(\text{w} \right) \\ \frac{47.0 \pm 10.5 \\ 4.5 \pm 1.0 \\ 4.3 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.5 \left(1-140 \right) \\ 91 \left(56 - 118 \right) \\ 0.418 \\ \hline \frac{1}{\text{CO} \left[\text{m/min/m}^2 \right] \\ 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\ 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\ 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.4 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.4 \\ 0.113 \\ 1 \text{Infarct size} \left(\text{w} \left(0 1 \right) \\ 1.5 \left(848 \pm 1.0 \\ 0.001^* \\ 1.5 \\ 1 \text{VEV} \left[\text{m} \right] \\ 80 \left(62 - 131 \right) \\ 7.5 \pm 44.5 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.5 \left(848 \pm 1.3 \\ 0.101 \\ 1.5 $	Laboratory findings:				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	cTn-I [pg/ml]	47.8 (30.4–58.6)	40.4 (30.4–52.6)	57.3 (40–67.9)	0.033*
Neutrophils [* 10 ⁵ µl] 8.6 ±2.2 8.1 ±2.2 9.6 ±2.1 0.027* Lymphocytes [x 10 ⁵ µl] 2.3 ±0.7 2.6 ±0.7 2.1 ±0.6 0.016* Platelets [x 10 ⁵ µl] 2.3 ±0.7 2.6 ±0.7 2.1 ±0.6 0.016* Platelets [x 10 ⁵ µl] 0.8 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.105 Monocytes [x 10 ³ µl] 0.8 ±0.2 0.8 ±0.2 0.9 ±0.2 0.105 Hemoglobin [g/dl] 13.7 ±1.4 13.7 ±1.3 13.8 ±1.6 0.765 Glucose [mg/dl] 10 ±0.2 1.0 ±0.3 1.0 ±0.2 0.779 hcs.CRP [ug/l] 21.6 (f4.2-31) 19.1 (12.8-27.9) 23.9 (f4.2-34.5) 0.180 Total cholesterol [mg/dl] 179.2 ±51.6 175.4 ±56 185.2 ±44.9 0.534 LDL [mg/dl] 111 (90-137) 111 (59.5-134) 112 (96-145) 0.150 HDL [mg/dl] 130.5 ±8.2 3.6 9 ±5.2 41.1 ±11.1 0.312 Triglyceride [mg/dl] 120.5 (72-204) 124.5 (70-215.5) 116.5 (90-146) 0.890 CMAK imaging findings: 2 veeks	WBC [× 10 ³ μl]	11.4 ±2.6	11.2 ±2.5	11.7 ±2.9	0.490
$\frac{ ymphocytes [\times 10^3 \mu]}{ Patelets [\times 10^3 \mu]} 2.3 \pm 0.7 2.6 \pm 0.7 2.1 \pm 0.6 0.016^*$ $\frac{Platelets [\times 10^3 \mu]}{ Patelets [\times 10^3 \mu]} 2.395 \pm 41.7 238.3 \pm 47.2 2415 \pm 32.8 0.800$ $\frac{Monocytes [\times 10^3 \mu]}{ Max} 0.8 \pm 0.2 0.8 \pm 0.2 0.9 \pm 0.2 0.105$ $\frac{Hemoglobin [g/d]}{ Hax} 13.7 \pm 1.4 13.7 \pm 1.3 13.8 \pm 1.6 0.765$ $\frac{Glucose [mg/d]}{ Ib (9c-130)} 115 (9c-136.5) 113 (9c-130) 0.479$ $\frac{Creatinine [mg/d]}{ Ib (20, 21, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20$	Neutrophils [× 10³ µl]	8.6 ±2.2	8.1 ±2.2	9.6 ±2.1	0.027*
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Lymphocytes [× 10³ µl]	2.3 ±0.7	2.6 ±0.7	2.1 ±0.6	0.016*
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Platelets [× 10 ³ µl]	239.5 ±41.7	238.3 ±47.2	241.5 ±32.8	0.800
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Monocytes [× 10 ³ µl]	0.8 ±0.2	0.8 ±0.2	0.9 ±0.2	0.105
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hemoglobin [g/dl]	13.7 ±1.4	13.7 ±1.3	13.8 ±1.6	0.765
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Glucose [mg/dl]	115 (96–130)	115.5 (96–136.5)	113 (90–130)	0.479
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Creatinine [mg/dl]	1.0 ±0.2	1.0 ±0.3	1.0 ±0.2	0.779
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	hs-CRP [µg/l]	21.6 (14.2–31)	19.1 (12.8–27.9)	23.9 (14.2–34.5)	0.180
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Total cholesterol [mg/dl]	179.2 ±51.6	175.4 ±56	185.2 ±44.9	0.534
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LDL [mg/dl]	111 (90–137)	111 (59.5–134)	112 (96–145)	0.150
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	HDL [mg/dl]	38.5 ±8.2	36.9 ±5.2	41.1 ±11.1	0.312
CMR imaging findings: Z V X	Triglyceride [mg/dl]	120.5 (72–204)	124.5 (70–215.5)	116.5 (90–146)	0.890
2 weeks post-MI: LVEF (%) 47.0 ±10.5 45.9 ±10.2 48.8 ±10.9 0.200 LVEF (%) 47.0 ±10.5 45.9 ±10.2 48.8 ±10.9 0.200 LVEDV [ml] 160.4 ±33.0 165.9 ±35.4 153.5 ±24.4 0.517 LVESV [ml] 89 (61-140) 88.5 (61-140) 91 (56-118) 0.418 Stroke volume [ml] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 76.9 ±16.8 0.111 CO [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 CI [ml/min/m²] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9-26) 14 (8-22) 18 (12-26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 <0.001*	CMR imaging findings:				
Image: Normal Stresson 47.0 ±10.5 45.9 ±10.2 48.8 ±10.9 0.200 IVEF (%) 47.0 ±10.5 45.9 ±35.4 153.5 ±24.4 0.517 IVESV [ml] 89 (61-140) 88.5 (61-140) 91 (56-118) 0.418 Stroke volume [ml] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 76.9 ±16.8 0.111 CO [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 CI [ml/min/m²] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9-26) 14 (8-22) 18 (12-26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: IV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 <0.001*	2 weeks post-MI:				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	LVEF (%)	47.0 ±10.5	45.9 ±10.2	48.8 ±10.9	0.200
LVESV [ml] 89 (61-140) 88.5 (61-140) 91 (56-118) 0.418 Stroke volume [ml] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 76.9 ±16.8 0.111 CO [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 CI [ml/min/m²] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9-26) 14 (8-22) 18 (12-26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EDV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	IVEDV [ml]	160.4 +33.0	165.9 +35.4	153.5 +24.4	0.517
Stroke volume [ml] 72.6 ±13.2 69.8 ±9.7 76.9 ±16.8 0.111 CO [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 CI [ml/min] 4.5 ±1.0 4.3 ±0.8 4.8 ±1.3 0.137 CI [ml/min] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9-26) 14 (8-22) 18 (12-26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EDV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 <0.001*	LVESV [ml]	89 (61–140)	88.5 (61–140)	91 (56–118)	0.418
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Stroke volume [ml]	72.6 ±13.2	69.8 ±9.7	76.9 ±16.8	0.111
Cl [ml/min/m ²] 2.5 ±0.4 2.4 ±0.4 2.6 ±0.4 0.113 Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9–26) 14 (8–22) 18 (12–26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: IV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EDV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	CO [ml/min]	4.5 +1.0	4.3 +0.8	4.8 +1.3	0.137
Infarct size (%) of LV 17 (9–26) 14 (8–22) 18 (12–26) 0.042* 6 months post-MI: LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EDV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	CI [ml/min/m ²]	2.5 +0.4	2.4 +0.4	2.6 +0.4	0.113
6 months post-MI: 15 (6 - 20) 16 (1 - 21) 16 (1 - 21) 16 (1 - 21) LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EDV [ml] 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	Infarct size (%) of IV	17 (9–26)	14 (8–22)	18 (12–26)	0.042*
LV EF (%) 48.5 ±10.4 50.8 ±8.7 44.4 ±11.0 0.034* LV EF (%) 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	6 months post-MI			()	
LV EV (m) 159.8 ±34.3 146.1 ±30.5 181.0 ±29.3 < 0.001*	IV FF (%)	48 5 +10 4	50.8 +8.7	44 4 +11 0	0.034*
LV EV [ml] 80 (62–131) 74.5 (53–124) 106 (70–153) 0.015* Stroke volume [ml] 75.0 ±14.6 75.7 ±12.2 74.0 ±18.1 0.701 Cardiac output [ml/min] 4.6 ±1.2 4.7 ±0.9 4.5 ±1.6 0.616 Cardiac index [ml/min/m²] 2.6 ±0.4 2.7 ±0.4 2.5 ±0.5 0.387		159.8 +34.3	146.1 +30.5	181 0 +29 3	< 0.001*
Stroke volume [ml] 75.0 ±14.6 75.7 ±12.2 74.0 ±18.1 0.701 Cardiac output [ml/min] 4.6 ±1.2 4.7 ±0.9 4.5 ±1.6 0.616 Cardiac index [ml/min/m²] 2.6 ±0.4 2.7 ±0.4 2.5 ±0.5 0.387		80 (62–131)	74 5 (53–124)	106 (70–153)	0.015*
Cardiac output [ml/min] 4.6 ±1.2 4.7 ±0.9 4.5 ±1.6 0.616 Cardiac index [ml/min/m²] 2.6 ±0.4 2.7 ±0.4 2.5 ±0.5 0.387 Information (%) of (V) 15 (6–20) 11 (4, 18) 16 (10, 22) 0.020*	Stroke volume [ml]	75.0 +14.6	75 7 +12 2	74 0 +18 1	0.701
Cardiac index [ml/min/m²] 2.6 ± 0.4 2.7 ± 0.4 2.5 ± 0.5 0.387 Infarct cire (%) of [V] 15 (6-20) 11 (4, 18) 16 (10, 22) 0.020*	Cardiac outnut [ml/min]	4 6 +1 2	47+09	45+16	0.616
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	Cardiac index [ml/min/m ²]	2.6 +0.4	27+04	2.5 +0.5	0.387
	Infarct size (%) of IV	15 (6–20)	11 (4–18)	16 (10–23)	0.020*

Table I. Demographic, laboratory, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging baseline findings

Numerical variables are shown as mean ± standard deviation or median (IQR). Categorical variables are shown as numbers (%). *P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. AR – adverse remodeling, CI – cardiac index, CMR – cardiac magnetic resonance, CO – cardiac output, cTn-I – cardiac troponin I, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, LDL – low density lipoprotein, LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEDV – left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVESV – left ventricular end-systolic volume, SBP – systolic blood pressure.



TWEAK and MMP-3 on the first day post-MI contributed to the development of AR.

The process of cardiac remodeling includes structural and functional changes, such as cardiomyocyte proliferation, hypertrophy, necrosis, apoptosis, autophagy, interstitial fibrosis, contractile dysfunction, and ventricular dilatation [21]. Several experimental studies have revealed the upregulation of TWEAK in cardiomyocytes after experimental MI [22, 23]. A study by Jarr et al. [24] on rats indicated that TWEAK caused post-MI negative effects on cardiomyocytes in terms of cardiac repair by inhibiting peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ co-activator 1α , thereby decreasing metabolic adaptation and increasing cardiac workload. Jain et al. [22] discovered that increased TWEAK levels were associated with severe cardiac dysfunction in a study conducted with mouse and human cardiomyocytes. A study by Unudurthi et al. [25] showed that TWEAK caused cardiac fibrosis by triggering macrophage infiltration in rats. Another study on mice reported that TWEAK caused progressive dilated cardiomyopathy [26]. The inhibition of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma co-activator 1α by TWEAK was the mechanism in the development of dilated cardiomyopathy [26]. Similar to the above-mentioned study, the current study revealed that the TWEAK level increase that was observed after MI was associated with cardiac AR.

Membrane protein CD163 is one of the TWEAK receptors. CD163 is a scavenger receptor expressed by monocytes and macrophages, scavenging hemoglobin-haptoglobin (Hb-Hp) complexes and protecting tissues from oxidative damage and inflammation. TWEAK has affinity to the CD163 receptor due to the molecular similarity between the Hb-Hp complex and TWEAK [27]. The combination of TWEAK and CD163 causes the neutralization of both molecules. In this respect, CD163 is also known as a TWEAK decoy receptor [8]. Several studies have reported an increase in CD163 levels due to increased TWEAK levels [28, 29]. This increase occurs in order to both neutralize the negative effects of TWEAK and initiate its effects via the nuclear factor-kB signaling pathway. In accordance with these findings, a significant positive correlation was found herein between the TWEAK levels and CD163 at first day and 2 weeks post-MI. In addition, there was a significant positive correlation between the temporal changes in the TWEAK and CD163 levels. Furthermore, the CD163 levels reached statistical significance in the patients without AR. These results suggested that CD163 caused the enhanced neutralization of the negative effects of TWEAK in the patients without AR, resulting in improved cardiac repair.

MMPs are members of the zinc-containing endoprotease family responsible for tissue remodeling and the degradation of extracellular matrix proteins, released by endothelia, vascular smooth muscle cells, fibro-

ables	Adverse		1st day		P-value ¹		2 nd week		P-value ²	<i>P</i> -value ³	d⊽
	remodeling	Median	Q1	Q3	I	Median	Q1	Q3			
AK [pg/ml]	No, <i>n</i> = 28	216.0	168.7	242.8	< 0.001*	336.4	250.0	375.6	0.074	< 0.001*	< 0.001*
	Yes, $n = 18$	759.4	342.9	2134.0		276.5	208.4	297.6		< 0.001*	
163 [pg/ml]	No, <i>n</i> = 28	82675.6	68811.1	120855.0	0.242	139870.2	109724.9	187761.1	0.192	< 0.001*	0.008*
	Yes, $n = 18$	108351.9	71936.7	154971.8		121487.3	100603.4	144322.7		0.327	
P-1 [pg/ml]	No, <i>n</i> = 28	2799.9	1919.6	5092.4	0.265	6469.0	4055.0	10835.1	0.507	< 0.001*	0.838
	Yes, $n = 18$	3072.5	2446.6	5597.7		6695.6	4833.7	18667.2		0.016*	
P-2 [pg/ml]	No, <i>n</i> = 28	20987.5	17506.3	28579.7	0.010*	25572.8	16294.2	48138.4	0.471	0.045*	0.043*
	Yes, $n = 18$	29316.2	20728.2	63681.1		30526.1	19906.7	48138.4		0.231	
P-3 [pg/ml]	No, <i>n</i> = 28	6156.5	4978.1	8472.8	0.005*	10563.1	8425.4	15980.4	0.500	< 0.001*	0.001*
	Yes, $n = 18$	9493.1	7364.4	12069.3		10984.1	7031.5	13423.5		0.845	

Table II. Changes in cytokine and MMP levels in the post-MI period

TVE

SCD

MM

MM

MM

Vari

Variables	Correlation	1 st n =	day = 46	2 nd v n =	veek 46	Change (2 th week–1 st day) <i>n</i> = 46		
		TWEAK	sCD163	TWEAK	sCD163	TWEAK	sCD163	
sCD163	r	0.445	-	0.711	-	0.591	-	
	P-value	0.002*	-	< 0.001*	-	< 0.001*	-	
MMP-1	r	0.338	0.399	0.378	0.604	0.329	0.388	
	P-value	0.022*	0.006*	0.010*	< 0.001*	0.031*	0.008*	
MMP-2	r	0.425	0.361	0.493	0.402	0.416	0.347	
	P-value	0.001*	0.014*	0.001*	0.006*	0.004*	0.014*	
MMP-3	r	0.479	0.225	0.590	0.516	0.611	0.619	
	P-value	0.001*	0.134	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	

Table III. Relationship	between TWEAK,	sCD163 and MN	MPs in the	post-MI	period
-------------------------	----------------	---------------	------------	---------	--------

*P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. TWEAK – tumor necrosis factor like weak inducer of apoptosis, MMP – matrix metalloproteinases.

blasts, osteoblasts, macrophage neutrophils, and lymphocytes. MMP levels increase shortly after MI, leading to an imbalance between the MMPs and tissue inhibitors of MMPs in cardiac tissue. This imbalance causes degradation of the cardiac extracellular matrix. Cardiac tissue thickness decreases after degradation, causing impaired cardiac structure and function [30, 31]. Wegiel and Rakowski [32] examined the relationship between biomarkers and remodeling in 53 articles between 2005 and 2020. The authors found that 160 biomarkers, a significant portion of which are MMPs, are associated with cardiac remodeling, and a positive correlation was found between MMP-3 and cardiac remodeling in 3 of the 4 studies reviewed. Pecherina et al. [33] reported a positive relation between MMP-3 levels and diastolic dysfunction, LVEF, and LVESV. Fan et al. [34] conducted a preclinical study and postulated that MMP-2 causes AR in the post-MI period as a result of extracellular matrix damage. They developed a MMP-2 inhibitor and injected it to infarcted heart tissue for 4 weeks post-MI. They discovered that this inhibitor not only increases tissue thickness, but also effectively prevents cardiac extracellular matrix degradation by preserving the collagen composition of normal cardiac tissue. They reported significant improvement in cardiac function without the induction of fibrosis and showed that AR can be effectively prevented by MMP-2 inhibition. In the current study, it was discovered that the MMP-2 and MMP-3 levels were higher in the patients with AR than in those without AR on first day post-MI. The univariable regression analysis indicated that the elevated MMP-2 and MMP-3 levels on the first day post-MI contributed to the development of AR. In addition, the finding of a positive correlation between the TWEAK and MMP levels in the early post-MI period supported the concept that TWEAK can induce MMPs by activating the NF- κ B pathway [6, 35, 36]. These results suggested that an excessive post-MI inflammatory response activates MMPs and these collagenolytic MMPs cause extracellular matrix damage

and cardiac fibrosis, resulting in impairment of cardiac function and structure.

Cardiac remodeling can occur weeks to months after reperfusion. Increasing evidence indicates that TWEAK and MMP levels may be an important biomarker in detecting high-risk patients post-MI. Typical measurement methods may not fully reflect the *in vivo* activity of cytokines. In addition, ideal biomarkers should be easily detectable from blood [32]. Therefore, the routine use of cytokine measurements can be difficult. Furthermore, TWEAK and MMPs may be a new therapeutic target in adverse cardiac remodeling. In high-risk patients post-MI, ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor inhibitors and statins may decrease concentrations of TWEAK and MMP-3 [37, 38].

The main limitation of this study was the small size of the study population. Another limitation was that the TWEAK level was a systemically measured parameter. Local cardiomyocyte levels of TWEAK should also be measured in order to determine whether TWEAK is effective in cardiac remodeling by acting on cardiomyocytes. This is not possible in human models. However, TWEAK upregulation has been reported in the cardiomyocytes of animal models [24].

Conclusions

There is a positive correlation between TWEAK and MMP-3 levels after MI, and excessive increases in both cytokine levels are associated with the development of AR. The results of the present study suggest that increased post-MI sCD163 expression may neutralize the excessive inflammatory effects of TWEAK.

Acknowledgments

The authors declared that this research received financial support from the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey (Department Of Research, Development and Health Technology Evaluation) 2015/SAGEM-2/001 project.

Variables	Univariable			Mo	Model I multivariable			Model II multivariable			
Vallables	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value		
Demographic parameters:	•			•							
Age [years]	0.98	0.90–1.07	0.650	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Male gender	0.60	0.11-3.36	0.887	_	_	_	_	_	_		
BMI	1.05	0.90-1.22	0.557	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Smoking	2.11	0.63-7.13	0.290	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Hypertension	1.15	0.34–3.90	0.950	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Diabetes mellitus	1.15	0.30-4.41	0.946	_	_	_	_	_	_		
SBP	1.01	0.97–1.04	0.780	_	-	_	_	-	_		
DBP	1.01	0.95–1.05	0.937	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Heart rate	1.02	0.96–1.08	0.500	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Door-to-balloon time	1.02	0.93–1.11	0.685	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Symptom-to-balloon time	0.99	0.90-1.01	0.813	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Anterior infarct	1.18	0.35-3.94	0.963	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Laboratory parameters:											
cTn-l	1.10	1.01–1.20	0.030*	_	_	_	_	-	_		
WBC	1.08	0.86–1.37	0.482	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Neutrophil	1.19	1.03–1.36	0.024*	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Lymphocyte	0.37	0.14-0.61	0.015*	_	_	_	_	-	_		
Platelet	1.01	0.98–1.03	0.795	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Monocyte	1.01	0.98–1.06	0.100	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Hemoglobin	1.07	0.69–1.66	0.758	_	-	_	_	_	_		
Glucose	0.99	0.98–1.02	0.473	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Creatinine	1.49	0.10-22.60	0.773	_	_	_	_	_	_		
hs-CRP	1.03	0.97–1.08	0.172	_	-	_	_	_	_		
Total cholesterol	1.01	0.99–1.02	0.526	_	_	_	_	_	_		
LDL	1.07	0.99–1.20	0.147	_	_	_	_	_	_		
HDL	1.01	0.98–1.03	0.301	_	-	_	_	_	_		
Triglyceride	0.99	0.95–1.11	0.872	_	_	_	_	_	_		
CMR imaging parameters:							_	_	_		
LVEF	1.03	0.97–1.09	0.184	_	-	_	_	_	_		
Stroke volume	1.05	0.98–1.10	0.084	_	-	-	_	_	_		
СО	1.59	0.85-2.97	0.134	_	_	_	_	_	_		
CI	3.47	0.72–16.74	0.101	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Infarct size	1.08	1.02-1.13	0.040*	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Cytokines:											
1 st day post-MI:											
TWEAK	1.02	1.01-1.03	< 0.001*	1.03	1.01-1.05	0.006*	_	_	_		
sCD163	1.01	0.98–1.05	0.154	_	_	_	_	_	_		
MMP1	1.01	0.99–1.04	0.148	_	_	_	_	_	_		
MMP2	1.05	1.01-1.10	0.024*	_	_	_	_	_	_		
MMP3	1.07	1.02–1.12	0.007*	1.08	1.04–1.13	0.015*	_	_	_		
				Nagelker	ke $R^2 = 0.54;$	p < 0.001*					
Change:				0		<u>.</u>	_	_	_		
ΔΤΨΕΑΚ	0.90	0.82-0.97	0.015*	-	-	-	0.92	0.84-0.99	0.018*		
ΔsCD163	0.98	0.98-0.99	0.037*	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ΔMMP1	1.00	0.99–1.01	0.310	-	-	_	_	-	-		
ΔΜΜΡ2	0.97	0.95-0.99	0.049*	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<u></u> ΔΜΜΡ3	0.96	0.94-0.98	0.005*	-	-	_	0.98	0.96-0.99	0.005*		
	2.20						Manallia		0.001*		

Table IV. Effect of cytokines and MMPs on adverse left ventricular remodeling

Nagelkerke $R^2 = 0.46; p < 0.001^*$

In model I, potential risk factors (p < 0.25), and confounder factors and cytokine concentrations on the 1st day post-MI were included. In model II, potential risk factors (p < 0.25), and confounder factors and changes of cytokine concentrations from the 1st day to 2nd weeks post-MI were included. *p < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. TWEAK – tumor necrosis factor like weak inducer of apoptosis, MMP – matrix metalloproteinases, OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bhatt AS, Ambrosy AP, Velazquez EJ. Adverse remodeling and reverse remodeling after myocardial infarction. Curr Cardiol Rep 2017; 19: 71.
- Swirski FK, Nahrendorf M. Cardioimmunology: the immune system in cardiac homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2018; 18: 733-44.
- 3. Frangogiannis NG. Regulation of the inflammatory response in cardiac repair. Circ Res 2012; 110: 159-73.
- 4. Westman PC, Lipinski MJ, Luger D, et al. Inflammation as a driver of adverse left ventricular remodeling after acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016; 67: 2050-60.
- 5. Mendez-Barbero N, Gutierrez-Munoz C, Madrigal-Matute J, et al. A major role of TWEAK/Fn14 axis as a therapeutic target for post-angioplasty restenosis. EBioMedicine 2019; 46: 274-89.
- 6. Chen HN, Wang DJ, Ren MY, et al. TWEAK/Fn14 promotes the proliferation and collagen synthesis of rat cardiac fibroblasts via the NF-small ka, CyrillicB pathway. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 8231-41.
- Sheng Z, Ju C, Li B, et al. TWEAK promotes endothelial progenitor cell vasculogenesis to alleviate acute myocardial infarction via the Fn14-NF-kappaB signaling pathway. Exp Ther Med 2018; 16: 4019-29.
- Bover LC, Cardo-Vila M, Kuniyasu A, et al. A previously unrecognized protein-protein interaction between TWEAK and CD163: potential biological implications. J Immunol 2007; 178: 8183-94.
- 9. Moreno JA, Munoz-Garcia B, Martin-Ventura JL, et al. The CD163-expressing macrophages recognize and internalize TWEAK: potential consequences in atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 2009; 207: 103-10.
- 10. Akahori H, Karmali V, Polavarapu R, et al. CD163 interacts with TWEAK to regulate tissue regeneration after ischaemic injury. Nat Commun 2015; 6: 7792.
- 11. Wang KF, Huang PH, Chiang CH, et al. Usefulness of plasma matrix metalloproteinase-9 level in predicting future coronary revascularization in patients after acute myocardial infarction. Coron Artery Dis 2013; 24: 23-8.
- 12. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 60: 1581-98.
- 13. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2018; 39: 119-77.
- 14. Reindl M, Reinstadler SJ, Tiller C, et al. Prognosis-based definition of left ventricular remodeling after ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Eur Radiol 2019; 29: 2330-9.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18: 499-502.
- 16. Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, et al. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. Source Code Biol Med 2008; 3: 17.
- 17. Chowdhury MZI, Turin TC. Variable selection strategies and its importance in clinical prediction modelling. Fam Med Community Health 2020; 8: e000262.

- 18. Kim JB, Kobayashi Y, Kuznetsova T, et al. Cytokines profile of reverse cardiac remodeling following transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Int J Cardiol 2018; 270: 83-8.
- 19. Parodi G, Carrabba N, Santoro GM, et al. Heart failure and left ventricular remodeling after reperfused acute myocardial infarction in patients with hypertension. Hypertension 2006; 47: 706-10.
- 20. Gohbara M, Iwahashi N, Kataoka S, et al. Glycemic variability determined by continuous glucose monitoring system predicts left ventricular remodeling in patients with a first ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Circ J 2015; 79: 1092-9.
- 21. Tham YK, Bernardo BC, Ooi JY, et al. Pathophysiology of cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure: signaling pathways and novel therapeutic targets. Arch Toxicol 2015; 89: 1401-38.
- 22. Jain M, Jakubowski A, Cui L, et al. A novel role for tumor necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK) in the development of cardiac dysfunction and failure. Circulation 2009; 119: 2058-68.
- 23. Chorianopoulos E, Heger T, Lutz M, et al. FGF-inducible 14-kDa protein (Fn14) is regulated via the RhoA/ROCK kinase pathway in cardiomyocytes and mediates nuclear factor-kappaB activation by TWEAK. Basic Res Cardiol 2010; 105: 301-13.
- Jarr KU, Eschricht S, Burkly LC, et al. TNF-like weak inducer of apoptosis aggravates left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction in mice. Mediators Inflamm 2014; 2014: 131950.
- Unudurthi SD, Nassal DM, Patel NJ, et al. Fibroblast growth factor-inducible 14 mediates macrophage infiltration in heart to promote pressure overload-induced cardiac dysfunction. Life Sci 2020; 247: 117440.
- 26. Shi J, Jiang B, Qiu Y, et al. PGC1alpha plays a critical role in TWEAK-induced cardiac dysfunction. PLoS One 2013; 8: e54054.
- 27. Van Gorp H, Delputte PL, Nauwynck HJ. Scavenger receptor CD163, a Jack-of-all-trades and potential target for cell-directed therapy. Mol Immunol 2010; 47: 1650-60.
- 28. Jasiewicz M, Kowal K, Kowal-Bielecka O, et al. Serum levels of CD163 and TWEAK in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Cytokine 2014; 66: 40-5.
- 29. Urbonaviciene G, Martin-Ventura JL, Lindholt JS, et al. Impact of soluble TWEAK and CD163/TWEAK ratio on long-term cardio-vascular mortality in patients with peripheral arterial disease. Atherosclerosis 2011; 219: 892-9.
- 30. Tanindi A, Sahinarslan A, Elbeg S, et al. Association of matrix metalloproteinase-1, matrix metalloproteinase-9, tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1, and interleukin-6 with epicardial and myocardial perfusion. Coron Artery Dis 2011; 22: 253-8.
- 31. Iyer RP, de Castro Bras LE, Patterson NL, et al. Early matrix metalloproteinase-9 inhibition post-myocardial infarction worsens cardiac dysfunction by delaying inflammation resolution. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2016; 100: 109-17.
- 32. Wegiel M, Rakowski T. Circulating biomarkers as predictors of left ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction. Adv Interv Cardiol 2021; 17: 21-32.
- 33. Pecherina T, Kutikhin A, Kashtalap V, et al. Serum and echocardiographic markers may synergistically predict adverse cardiac remodeling after ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in patients with preserved ejection fraction. Diagnostics 2020; 10: 301.
- 34. Fan D, Takawale A, Lee J, et al. Cardiac fibroblasts, fibrosis and extracellular matrix remodeling in heart disease. Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair 2012; 5: 15.

- 35. Mendez-Barbero N, Gutierrez-Munoz C, Blazquez-Serra R, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK)/ fibroblast growth factor-inducible 14 (Fn14) axis in cardiovascular diseases: progress and challenges. Cells 2020; 9: 405.
- Kim SH, Kang YJ, Kim WJ, et al. TWEAK can induce pro-inflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinase-9 in macrophages. Circ J 2004; 68: 396-9.
- Yabluchanskiy A, Li Y, Chilton RJ, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases: drug targets for myocardial infarction. Curr Drug Targets 2013; 14: 276-86.
- Mustonen E, Sakkinen H, Tokola H, et al. Tumour necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK) and its receptor Fn14 during cardiac remodelling in rats. Acta Physiol 2010; 199: 11-22.